

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

CWP-4069-2024
Date of Decision : 02.04.2024

SUDARSHAN SAINI ... PETITIONER

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Komal Preet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate for
Ms.Ruchita Garg, Central Govt. Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to the respondents to issue passport.
2. The petitioner vide application dated 10.01.2024 has applied for passport. The application is still pending.
3. Ms. Komal Preet Kaur, Advocate submits that the petitioner in terms of judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others, 2023 SCC Online (P&H) 1391** as well as instructions issued by Central Government is entitled to passport because trial Court has not framed charges against him, though, he is involved in two FIRs.

4. Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate submits that the matter of the petitioner is under consideration and passport authority would decide his application within 08 weeks from today in accordance with law.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to aforesaid arrangement.
6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.04.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>