

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4011-2024

Date of Decision: 21.02.2024

BALBIR SINGH @ BALBIR SINGH DHANKHAR ...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Kumar Premi, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though the petitioner has been given the posting as per his second choice, but, the claim of the petitioner *qua* other 12 available stations for which he is eligible to opt, has not been considered. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is entitled to be considered under online transfer policy dated 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) against the other stations as well so that, no discrimination occurs.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, there is any grievance *qua* his transfer and he raises any objection by filing any representation, the same will be considered and decided by the competent authority within a period of three weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, if the claim of the petitioner is found genuine to be accepted the same will be accepted otherwise due reasons for not accepting the same will be given by passing a speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

21.02.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No