

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1669-2024
DECIDED ON: 06.03.2024

ROUNAKPREET KAUR AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vishavjeet Singh Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

In compliance of the previous order dated 22.02.2024, a status report by way of an affidavit of Nanak Singh, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, District Mansa has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record. The categoric stand taken by the State in the status report is that no threat perception is there to the life and liberty of the petitioners after having recorded the statement of petitioner No.1- Ronakpreet Kaur to the effect that she does not want to take any action against anyone since there is no threat to her life and liberty along with her husband petitioner No.2-Sehpal Singh, who has also stated on the similar

line and the respondents to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition and prays for withdrawal of the same stating that there is no threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

06.03.2024
Poonam Negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>