

229

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4655-2019
Date of decision: 11.03.2024

PAWAN KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to scrutinize the documents of the petitioner for ascertaining the two times candidates against the advertised post and in the meantime to provisionally consider the candidature of the petitioner for the purpose of interview and further to issue a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the announcement dated 13.02.2019 (Annexure P-9), whereby the candidature of the petitioner has been rejected for the purpose of interview with a further prayer that during the pendency of the present petition, the final result may not be declared by the respondents or the result may be declared subject to the final outcome of the present petition.

2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner today. Same was the position on the last four dates of hearing as well.

3. A perusal of the earlier orders would show that the learned counsel for the petitioner has not appeared after the notice of motion was issued by this Court in the present case.

4. On 21.02.2019, it was directed by this Court that the petitioner shall be provisionally interviewed and his documents be scrutinized and thereafter, his result be kept in a sealed cover.

5. Today, learned State counsel has brought the result of the petitioner in a sealed cover, which has been opened in the Court. As per the result, the petitioner has secured total 84 marks. He further submitted that the petitioner applied under the ESM-BCA (Dependent of ESM) category and the last selected candidate under the said category has secured total 122 marks and the petitioner is much lower in the merit as compared to the last selected candidate and even otherwise also, nothing would survive in the present writ petition.

6. The result of the petitioner, which has been brought in the Court by the learned State counsel, is taken on record as Mark-‘X’.

7. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is dismissed.

11.03.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No