



205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18024-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.10.2024

Rajinder Verma and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

The Haryana State Electricity Board, Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Gaurav Jagota, Advocate, for
Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 has stated that when the post of Information Assistant was created there was a condition imposed with regard to the upper age limit which has been challenged in the present case. He submitted that the petitioners have already retired.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 has also stated that the subject matter of the present petition has become redundant in view of the fact that the petitioners have already retired about 7 years ago and the challenge was laid to the condition of upper age limit.



4. Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners and as per the report of the Registry, the learned counsel for the petitioners has been informed through email but still nobody has appeared.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

01.10.2024
rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No