

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CWP-1770 of 2000
Date of Decision: 22.03.2024

Ajit Raj

.... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Gurdaspur and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh, Asst. A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. As per office report dated 22.03.2024, learned counsel for the parties were duly informed through e-mail, about today's hearing of this case.

2. Petitioner – Ajit Raj(workman), has filed the present writ petition, assailing the award dated 17.08.1999(Annexure P-5), whereby, Reference No.91 of 1993, under Section 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short, 'ID Act'), has been answered against him, by observing that there is no violation of Section 25-F alongwith Sections 25-G and 25-H of the ID Act, and the relief of reinstatement as claimed by the workman cannot be granted to him.

2. As per the facts detailed in the award impugned herein, workman joined his services with the respondents in the month of June, 1989 in Dinanagar Nursery and worked uptill 27.08.1992. Thereafter, on 27.08.1992, the services of the workman were terminated.

Reference was answered vide impugned award dated 17.08.1999(Annexure P-5), and thereafter, writ petition is pending for adjudication before this Court since the year 2000.

3. Despite being informed, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner-workman. In the absence of the petitioner, this Court is unable to decide, as to whether, with the afflux of time, any substantial issue for its adjudication , survives or not.

4. This Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution. Therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for seeking revival of the present writ petition with the preparation to argue the matter within eight weeks from today, in case any substantive issue still exists alongwith a cause of action to the petitioner.

March 22, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no