

117/32

2024:PHHC:032745

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1641-2024

DECIDED ON: 06.03.2024

RULDA AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5 by restraining them from interfering in their personal, peaceful life and liberty.

In compliance of the previous order dated 21.02.2024, a status report by way of an affidavit of Surinder Singh Bhorla, IPS, Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record. Relevant para of the status report reads as under:-

“5. That during the course of inquiry the said S.1. also recorded the statement of respondent No. 4 2.e. Harphool and Respondent No.5 Happy in which they have categorically stated that they have no objection qua this marriage. We have not given any threat to Priyanka and Rulda, nor will we give any threat to them in future. The

copy of statements of respondent No. 4 & 5 are attached as Annexure R-1 & 2 respectively for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.”

A perusal of the said status report would depict that the statement of respondents No.4 and 5 were recorded during the course of inquiry to the effect that on 15.02.2024, petitioner No.2-Priyanka had left home at 2:00 p.m. and her missing report was given to Police Station Saha on 17.02.2024 evening and till date she has not been found. It is also stated that even her mobile number is not traceable and neither there is any conversation between him and her daughter Priyanka. Both have went on the record that they have no objection to the marriage already performed by petitioner No.2-Priyanka with petitioner No.1-Rulda and have assured that they are not threatening the petitioners and will not do so in future as well.

In the light of above, the allegations leveled in the present petition are found to be totally vague and have no iota of truth in it.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

06.03.2024

Poonam Negi

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No