IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CWP-4053-2024
Date of decision : 22.02.2024

Vikash Kaushik and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Anjali Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr.Sanjeev Bharti, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.A.S. Balyan, Advocate for respondent no.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to give promotion in the rank of DSP w.e.f. June 2019 and all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given a representation dated 20.07.2021 (Annexure P-9) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representation and

Davinder Kumar
2024.02.22 17:07
I attest the accuracy and
authenticity of this order /
judgment

decide the same within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representation dated 20.07.2021 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 22, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

Davinder Kumar
2024.02.22 17:07
I attest the accuracy and
authenticity of this order /
judgment