

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-9220-2024
Date of Decision:08.05.2024

PARVINDER ALIAS LAMBAPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Rajat Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 21.02.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.23 dated 20.01.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452, 506 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Sonapat.

Allegations are that Surender, the Uncle of complainant-Sushil intimated the husband of Sarpanch that Dalbir was raising level of his plot by filling up soil. On this grudge, Dalbir, Anuj etc., along with 4-5 other persons armed with danda, lathis etc., entered the complainant’s house and gave beatings to the complainant, his father and uncle.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is not named in the FIR to be amongst the assailants; that his name has surfaced in the disclosure statement of co-accused Sahil, which is not admissible; that coaccused Ajit Kaur, Amit, Mahabir, Dalbir, Satish, Jai Bhagwan, Anuj and Mohit have already been allowed bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be

released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 21.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

08.05.2024

pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No