

[275] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9400-2023
Date of Decision : 13.02.2024

Pawan Kumar ...Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Ms. Shruti Mandhotra, Advocate for Mr. Sandeep Verma,
Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“ Present:- Ms. Vanshita Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.K. Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

As informed by learned State Counsel, investigation is
still going on and thus challan has not been filed so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to obtain
necessary instructions as to whether petitioner would like to withdraw
the petition at this stage and to file fresh petition as and when challan
is filed.

Adjourned to 09.11.2023. ”

[2] Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner
in order to inform as to whether the petitioner wants to withdraw the
petition or to file the fresh petition as and when challan is filed.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is dismissed in
default for want of prosecution.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

13.02.2024
'Rajneesh'