

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3923-2024
Date of decision: 21.02.2024

Suchismita Das

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate and
Mr. Manoj Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 to issue transfer certificate as well as migration certificate to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given legal notice dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) and she would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3-University is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief

immediately, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.3-University would consider the said legal notice dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3-University to consider legal notice dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3-University would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

21.02.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No