

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

204 CWP-17418 of 2024  
Date of Decision:04.04.2024

JAGIR SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, GURDASPUR  
AND ORS

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpal Singh Baidwan, Advocate  
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)**

1. Power of attorney on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place in the paper-book.
2. Petitioner-Jagir Singh, has filed the present writ petition, assailing the award dated 22.09.1997 (Annexure P-2), whereby Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Gurdaspur has answered reference No. 288 of 1991 against him. Learned Tribunal has given a specific finding that the reference is bad in law and thus, same is not maintainable because initial order of termination dated 17.11.1984 was never assailed by the workman.

3. Mr. R.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate, who filed the present writ petition is not present for rendering any kind of assistance, despite the information sent to him through e-mail.

Therefore, this Court is unable to proceed further for the purpose of hearing of the petition. Therefore, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for seeking revival of the present writ petition with the preparation to argue the matter within eight weeks from today, in case any substantive issue still exists alongwith a cause of action to the petitioner.

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| April 04, 2024            | [SANJAY VASHISTH] |
| rashmi                    | JUDGE             |
| Whether speaking/reasoned | yes/no            |
| Whether reportable?       | yes/no            |