

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

CWP-3936-2024
Date of Decision: 21.02.2024

Gurkaranpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Nishant Indal, Advocate and
Ms. Sukriti Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrita Singh, Central Government Counsel
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh with
Mr. Saksham Parmar, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to re-issue him passport.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 submits that application of the petitioner has already been processed and his passport would be issued within four weeks from today.
3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition.
4. Disposed of as not pressed.

21.02.2024
monika

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No