



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 102

CWP-18840-1997(O&M)
Date of Decision:19.09.2024

MANGAT RAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl.A.G., Haryana

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. On the last two dates of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had appeared and prayed for sometime to argue the matter. The following orders were passed:-

“Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner and prayed for some time to argue the matter.

On his request, adjourned to 08.05.2024.”

and

“It is an old case of 1997.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had caused appearance and prayed for some time to argue the matter.

Today again a request for adjournment has been made by Ms.Jyoti Chahal, Advocate appearing on behalf of Mr. Vijay Dahiya, learned arguing counsel for the petitioner.



On her request, adjourned to 19.09.2024, subject to the payment of Rs.1000/- (Rupees One Thousand Only) as costs which shall be paid by the learned counsel for the petitioner and deposited in the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association.”

2. Today learned counsel for the petitioner is not present despite the matter being called twice and as per the report of the Registry, even the costs have not been deposited.
3. In view of above, it appears that the petitioner is not inclined to proceed with the instant petition any further.
- 4.. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

19.09.2024
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No