

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

418

CWP-15502-2001 (O&M)

Decided on : April 29, 2024

IQBAL SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

PUNJAB MANDI BOARD & OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikram Vir Sharda, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking writ in the nature of *Certiorari* quashing the office order dated 28.09.2001 (Annexure P-2) alleging that vide aforesaid orders the respondents were trying to change the cadre of the petitioners, being a pressure tactics tool, so as to withdraw CWP No.1175 of 2001, which has been filed by the petitioners.

No one was present on behalf of the petitioners on 07.03.2024 and consequently notice(s) were issued to the petitioners for 16.04.2024.

As per office report, notice(s) issued to the petitioners were received back unserved with the report “office is shifted to Mohali” and consequently, on the last date of hearing, the respondents were directed to get instructions about the status of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents on instructions from the respondent - department submits that petitioner no.1 has retired from service on

attaining the age of superannuation on 23.03.2023 as Senior Assistant; petitioner no.2, while working on the post of Senior Assistant, took voluntary retirement w.e.f 27.07.2018 and during the pendency of this petition, petitioner no.3 has also been promoted as Senior Assistant and is still in service.

When this petition was filed in the year 2001, the challenge in the present petition was to the office order dated 28.09.2001 (Annexure P-2) by which the respondents were trying to change the cadre of the petitioners.

Since, the petitioners have already been promoted to the post of Senior Assistant and the petitioners no.1 and 2 have already retired from service and today no one is present on behalf of the petitioners, it appears that the petitioners are not interested to pursue their cause, consequently, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

April 29, 2024

mkkoundal

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE