

212+2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16305-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:29.07.2024

P.S.I.E.C. Petitioner

Versus

MADAN LAL & OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the parties.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, counsel for the parties have been informed, however, there is no representation on behalf of the parties. Matter is pending before this Court for last more than two decades.
2. There seems no reason to keep the matter pending further. It appears that with the efflux of time, parties have lost their interest to pursue the matter.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. The parties are at liberty to move an appropriate application within three month from today, if cause survives.
5. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.07.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>