



216 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16280-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

DATA PARSHAD PANDEY AND ORS. ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the office order dated 14.10.1999 (Annexure P-2) with direction to the respondents to give information in writing sought by the petitioners in their representations (Annexures P-3 to P-5) and demand notice (Annexure P-6).
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the office of respondent No.1, submits that during the pendency of the present petition, petitioner No.1 has retired from service on 30.09.2020 after extension for a period of one year, respondent No.2 has retired from the post of under Secretary on 31.08.2023 and respondent No.3 has retired as Senior Assistant on 31.03.2019 after extension for a period of two years and therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has no instructions from the petitioner.
4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of as having been infructuous.
5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition in case, any cause still survives.

12.08.2024
renubala

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No