



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-3874-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.11.2024

Neelam Saini

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Neha Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel for UOI

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents to reconduct the Physical Efficiency Test, which was held on 22.01.2024 as fair chance was not afforded to him, therebeing distraction occurred.

2. Learned counsel would contend that regarding the claim raised in the present petition, a representation dated 25.01.2024, Annexure P-6, has been submitted by him, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same and to show him the videography in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for UOI has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 25.01.2024 within a period of 2

months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to her and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

06.11.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No