

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1096 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.02.2024

Satish Kumar Singal

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Sham Lal Singla and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-landlord has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bathinda (for short 'the Executing Court') to decide *Execution Petition No.193 of 2019* titled as '*Satish Kumar Singal versus Sham Lal Singla and another*' in a time bound manner, while averring that he is 77 years old and had filed the above-referred Execution Petition in the year 2019 and the same has not yet been decided.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner-landlord in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have also perused the file carefully.

3. Keeping in view the facts that the petitioner happens to be a senior citizen and the afore-mentioned Execution Petition is pending

adjudication for the last about 4½ years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned Executing Court to conclude the proceedings and to decide the Execution Petition under reference, in accordance with law, as early as possible.

22.02.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*