

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-8930-2024

Date of decision: 09.04.2024

AMANJOT SINGH @ JOT

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Bokolia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e on 28.02.2024, the following order was passed:-

"1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.96 dated 07.12.2023, under Sections 379-B, 341, 34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Bajakhana, District Faridkot.

2. The allegation(s) against the petitioner is that, he along with his two co-accused, waylaid the complainant and snatched currency notes worth Rs.15,000/- from him. The petitioner is alleged to be the driver of the motorcycle, which was used in commission of snatching.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, in his asking for the relief (supra), submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR, as, except the disclosure statement(s) of coaccused, there is no cogent evidence on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. He further submits that the petitioner, who is not involved in any other criminal case, is

ready and willing to join investigation, and, to cooperate with the investigating officer.

4. Notice of motion for 09.04.2024.

5. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the order dated 28.02.2024, the petitioner had joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baltej Singh, submits that the petitioner had joined the investigation and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 28.02.2024, is hereby made absolute.

09.04.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No