

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-16932-2000

Date of Decision: 22.03.2024

Ajaib Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Commissioner Municipal Corporation and ors

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Ghai, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. As per office report dated 19.03.2024, learned counsel for the parties have been informed through e-mail about the date fixed before this Court.

2. Through present writ petition, petitioner No.1-Ajaib Singh and petitioner No.2-Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, have prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay all retiral benefits like gratuity, Provident Fund, Group Insurance etc., to petitioner No.1 alongwith interest @ 18 % per annum after fixing the pay as per revised pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.1996.

Further prayer has been made for quashing of the order dated 16.05.2000, whereby Commissioner Municipal Corporation,

Chandigarh(respondent No.1) passed an order retiring petitioner No.1 on medical ground with effect from 31.05.2000.

3. Despite being informed, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. In the absence of the petitioners, this Court is unable to decide, as to whether, with the afflux of time, any substantial issue for its adjudication , survives or not.

4. This Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution. Therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an appropriate application for seeking revival of the present writ petition with the preparation to argue the matter within eight weeks from today, in case any substantive issue still exists alongwith a cause of action to the petitioners.

March 22, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no