



249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-668-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 13.05.2024

JATIN AND OTHERS

...APPELLANTS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Harayana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The appellants have filed the present appeal against the impugned judgment dated 01.02.2024 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal, whereby, the anticipatory bail application filed by the present appellants in case FIR No. 754 dated 28.07.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 of IPC (Section 120-B IPC added lateron) and under Sections 3(2)(va), 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the SC/ST Act registered at police Station Karnal Sadar, Karnal was ordered to be dismissed.

This Court vide order dated 26.02.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the appellants, subject to their joining the investigation.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that in pursuance to the order dated 26.02.2024, the appellants have joined the investigation.



CRA-S-668-2024

-2-

Learned State counsel on instructions not controvert the said fact and further submits that custodial interrogation of the appellants is not required. He further informs the Court that directions issued by vide order dated 02.04.2024 has been duly complied with as the SHO, Police Station Karnal Sadar, Karnal informed the respondent No.-2/complainant in writing with regard to the pendency of the appeal.

In view of the above, the order dated 26.02.2024 is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

13.05.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>