

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-5920-2022  
Date of Decision: 01.03.2024

BHAGWANT SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.  
\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Simran Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Ranjan Lakhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, DAG, Punjab.  
\*\*\*\*

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents to take appropriate action to protect and preserve the memorial (Samadh).

Reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents, wherein it has been stated that there is no attempt of encroachment on the area of the abovesaid Samadh and that the dispute is *inter se* amongst the co-sharers. The Block Development and Panchayat Officer has specifically reported that there is no encroachment on the area of approximately 5 to 6 kanals on or around the Samadh.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned State Counsel has no objection to the same.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

MARCH 01, 2024  
*rajender*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No