



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**FAO-788-1989 AND
XOBJC-40-CII-1991
Decided on :28.08.2024**

Subha Singh

. . . Appellant

Versus

Gursewak Singh @ Bholla and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Vipul, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Arora, Advocate
for the appellant.


None for the cross-objectors.

Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellant and thus, he is not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.3- Insurance Company has submitted that against the award dated 09.02.1988, an appeal was filed



**FAO-788-1989 AND
XOBJC-40-CII-1991**

-2-

by the Insurance Company which was disposed of vide order dated 16.02.2011 and thus, the cross-objection has been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal as well as cross-objection are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

28.08.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No