

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3786-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Umesh and others
.....Petitioners
Versus
Union of India and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners.
2. The grievance of the petitioners is that they are working since 1986 onwards, however, their services have not been regularized.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for claiming the said relief, the petitioners have approached respondent No.6 by filing representation dated 25.11.2022 (Annexure P-18), however, the same is still pending consideration as no final decision has been taken on the said representation. He further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, if time bound directions are issued to respondent No.6 to consider the claim of the petitioners for regularization.
4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

7. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.6 – Vice Chancellor, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana is directed to consider and decide the claim made in the representation dated 25.11.2022 (Annexure P-18) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioners are found entitled, their claim be released within a period of 04 weeks thereafter. In case, the respondents are of the opinion that the claim of the petitioners merits rejection the same be adjudicated upon by passing a speaking order after giving an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners within the time as stipulated hereinabove.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

9. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to supplement the aforesaid representation within a period of 02 weeks.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

05.03.2024

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No