

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-16646-1998 (O&M)
Decided on :09.04.2024

Rajinder Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present matter pertains to the year 1998 and the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect. Even on 16.09.2005 and 21.11.2003, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.
4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the petitioner on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

09.04.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No