

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-8781-2024
Date of Decision:04.04.2024

RAJATPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Ms Mukta Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, DAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Shakti.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 27.02.2024, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.06 dated 11.01.2024 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 of the IPC (Sections 325 & 120-B of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Quilla, Panipat, Haryana.

As per allegations complainant Vikas was attacked by Vicky Saini, Dilshad Bapoli, Abhimandan, Ankush Insar and 4-5 others who came on a Scorpio with lathis and dandas. It is alleged that these assailants also took away ₹50,000/- and 15 gram of gold chain besides samsung mobile of the complainant when he was unconscious.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated; that petitioner is not named in the FIR nor he is attributed any injury. Still further, it is contended that co-accused as named in the FIR have already been allowed regular bail by the Court vide order dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.

Adjourned to 04.04.2024.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Shakti submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 27.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

04.04.2024

pry

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No