

2024:PHHC:051651

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

271 **COCp-679-2024**
Date of decision: 16.04.2024

RAVINDER KUMAR AND ORS ...Petitioners

Versus

SUDHIR RAJPAL, IAS AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Ms. Monika Beriwal, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 18.01.1995 passed in CWP-11738-1988; dated 12.12.2007 passed in CWP-2071-1986 and dated 10.10.2007 passed in CWP-5816-1994.
2. Counsel for the respondents submits that the present petition is totally frivolous, as has been filed without there being any basis. The petitioners had joined the services only in 2020 or thereafter. Therefore, there is no question of the petitioners being entitled to any pay scale retrospectively in terms of the order/judgment dated 18.01.1995 rendered in CWP-11738-1988.
3. The fact that the petitioners were appointed only in 2020 or thereafter, has not been even disputed by counsel for the petitioners.
4. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being totally frivolous.

16.04.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No