

**SUB DIVSIONAL APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY
V/S
KIRAN AND ORS**

Present: Mr. Amarpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Sanjeev Manrai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2015 and he has a very good case on merit.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. They further submit that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondents.

Leave to appeal granted.

Counsel for the respondents submit that they be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. They undertake to surrender before the majesty of Court as and when this Court directed to do so or in case of reversal of the judgment.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondents.

Main appeal

Admit.

List for final hearing on its own turn as per its queue following the roster.

It is clarified that the pendency of this appeal shall not be construed against the accused-respondents in any manner whatsoever.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.11.2024
Rimpal