

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3921 of 2024

Date of decision: 21.02.2024

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits of the petitioner i.e. gratuity, leave encashment, security deposit etc. along with interest for the delayed period, as the same has not been released to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for claiming retiral dues, petitioner has already submitted representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) and he may be given liberty to supplement the said representation by filing a fresh representation and the same may be considered and decided by the respondent in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim made in the representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) and supplementary representation, to be moved by the petitioner within two weeks, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.
7. The petition stands disposed of.

21.02.2024
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No