



CR-993-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-993-2024
Date of decision : 30.09.2024

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Inderpreet Grewal @ Inderpreet Kaur Grewal

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Hitesh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.Shehbaz Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 07.03.2024, the counsel for the petitioner had requested that the instant petition be taken up along with the connected petition on 03.05.2024 and the matter was adjourned to 03.05.2024. On 03.05.2024, the matter was adjourned to 30.08.2024 for being listed along with connected petition. On 30.08.2024, an adjournment was sought and the matter was adjourned to today i.e., 30.09.2024. Today again a request for adjournment has been made without any justification and without filing an adjournment slip.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition in case any cause survives and when the petitioner is ready to argue the matter. In case any such application is moved, the petitioner would specifically aver as to whether the main appeal



CR-993-2024

2

filed by the petitioner against the judgment of eviction has been decided or not and in case the same is pending, then what is the next date of hearing. The petitioner would also specifically aver, along with proof, as to whether the petitioner has paid the mesne profit, which has been ordered to be paid vide order dated 08.12.2023 from the date of eviction i.e. 17.01.2023 and would also show as to whether the arrears of rent since 2014 has been paid or not.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 30, 2024.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No