

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-8675-2024

Date of Decision:20.05.2024

MANOJ KUMAR @ MONU

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 26.02.2024, following order was passed:

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No. 175, dated 23.8.2023, Police Station Division No.6, Ludhiana, under Sections 323, 342, 384, 506, 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel submits that although there are allegations to the extent that an amount of Rs.39,400/- was forcibly withdrawn from the account of the complainant, but the said allegations are absolutely false. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner in any case, is willing to amicably resolve the matter and in order to prove his bonafides is willing to deposit an amount of Rs.50,000/-.

Notice of motion for 20.5.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The aforesaid interim directions are however, subject to the condition that the petitioner deposits the amount of Rs.50,000/- before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of 3 weeks from today. Upon

such amount being deposited, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall get the same invested in some nationalized Bank in FDR with the specific direction to the Bank Manager concerned not to entertain any request for encashment except upon an order of the Court.”

Today learned State counsel, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 26.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.05.2024

pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No