



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.14729 of 2024
Date of decision: 29th May, 2024

M/s Ninaniya Estates Limited & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, Astt. AG, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.267 dated 09.12.2022 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 of the IPC (Sections 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station D.L.F., Phase-I, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise affected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 02.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gurugram, in pursuance of the direction of this



Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been affected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed. However, as per the report, the petitioners are involved in few other cases of identical nature.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in the process of resolving the matter with the complainants in those cases as well and they are optimistic that it would be done shortly.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gurugram and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **‘Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others’ (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all



consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

8. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 29, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No