



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

137

FAO-874-1993 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.05.2024

JIT SINGH AND OTHERS

... Appellants

VERSUS

VIJAY SINGH BEDI AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate
for the Insurance Company.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that in the present case, the question of imposition of penalty is there, which is to be paid by the employer, who is not traceable. Learned counsel further submits that at this stage, the appeal may be consigned to record room with liberty to revive the same as and when the employer is traceable.

2. In these circumstances, it is not desirable to keep this FAO for indefinite period, hence, same is consigned to the record room with remark “dismissed for non-prosecution” with liberty to revive the same as and when the employer is traceable.

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

23.05.2024

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No