

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8759-2024

Date of Decision: 27.02.2024

Sumandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for taking an action in accordance with law against respondent No.5, as per the allegation levelled in representation dated 30.01.2024.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 30.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner. Requisite copies of the

petition have already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ferozepur, Punjab to look into the representation dated 30.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

27.02.2024  
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no  
Whether reportable? yes/no