


FAO-766-1992 (O&M) along with
other connected appeals



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(108)

1.

FAO-766-1992 (O&M)

SUKHDARSHAN SINGH

....APPELLANT
- VS.
- KAPOOR KAUR & ORS

....RESPONDENTS
2.

FAO-542-1993

RAJINDER KUMAR

....APPELLANT
- VS.
- SUKH DARSHAN SINGH & ORS

....RESPONDENTS
3.

FAO-544-1993

RAJINDER KAUMAR AND ANR

....APPELLANTS
- VS.
- SUKH DARSHAN SINGH & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS
4.

FAO-764-1992

SUKHDARSHAN SINGH

....APPELLANT
- VS.
- RAJINDER KUMAR & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS
5.

FAO-767-1992 (O&M)

SUKHDARSHAN SINGH & ANR.

....APPELLANTS
- VS.
- GURPREET KAUR

....RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: - 10.09.2024

**FAO-766-1992 (O&M) along with
other connected appeals**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant in FAO-764-1992, FAO-766-1992;
for appellant No.1 in FAO-767-1992;
for respondent No.1 in FAO-542-1993 & FAO-544-1993.

Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate
for Mr. Raman Mahajan, Advocate
for the appellants in FAO-542-1993 & FAO-544-1993.

Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate
for the respondent-National Insurance Company.

Mr. Shubham Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent-Oriental Insurance Company.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent/cross-objector.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents-Insurance Companies as well as the owners of the offending vehicles have submitted that the compensation which had been awarded to the claimants in the year 1992 is adequate and is in accordance with law and does not call for interference.
2. Learned counsel for the claimants-appellants/cross-objectors have submitted that in spite of best efforts, they have not been able to get in touch with the claimants-appellants/cross-objectors to see as to whether any cause survives in the present appeals or not and have jointly prayed that the present appeals be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but liberty be granted to the appellants-claimants/cross-objectors to revive

**FAO-766-1992 (O&M) along with
other connected appeals**

the present appeals in case any cause survives.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that in case the appellants/cross-objectors are permitted to revive the present appeals, then, the respondents be permitted to raise all pleas in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above, the present appeals are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the appellants/cross-objectors to move an appropriate application for restoration of the present appeals in case any cause survives. In case any such application is filed by the appellants/cross-objectors to revive the present appeals, it would be open to all the parties to raise their pleas in accordance with law.

September 10, 2024
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No