



206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15318-1999

Date of Decision: 16.12.2024

M/S HYDERABAD INDUSTRIES LTD. Petitioner

Versus

PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT-II, FARIDABAD AND
ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Amrita Mishra , Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of Award dated 22.07.1999 (Annexure P-9) passed by Labour Court whereby domestic inquiry conducted by Management has been held void.

2. The petitioner-Management terminated respondent No.2-workman. He was appointed on 09.02.1970 and terminated on 16.08.1986. The order of termination was passed after conducting domestic inquiry. The workman served a demand notice and matter came to be referred to Labour Court which vide impugned award answered question of validity of domestic inquiry. The Labour Court held that domestic inquiry was not conducted as per law, thus, it is void. The petitioner preferred instant petition assailing aforesaid award though final award with respect to relief to workman was not passed.

3. This Court vide order dated 02.11.1999 while issuing notice

of motion stayed further proceedings.

4. There is no representation of the respondent for quite a long time. It appears that with the efflux of time, the respondent has lost his interest to pursue the matter.

5. In the backdrop, there seems no reason to adjudicate the issues raised by the petitioner. Accordingly, at this stage, petition stands disposed of with liberty to parties to move an appropriate application, within three months from today, if cause survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.12.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No