

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-4062-2024
Date of decision: 13.03.2024

V.P. SHARMA
Vs.
....PETITIONER

BHAKHRA BEAS MANAGEMENT BOARD
...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate and
Mr. Harvinder Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to grant one notional annual grade increment on completion of one year service in the month of December of the respective year, re-fix his pay and consequently revise the retiral benefits.
2. The petitioner is ex-employee of respondent-Bhakhra Beas Management Board. He has retired on attaining the age of superannuation. The petitioner is seeking one notional annual grade increment on the ground that he has completed 1 year service in the month of December and increment was granted w.e.f. January.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage would be redressed, if respondent is directed to decide his representation/legal notice dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-11) in terms of

judgment of Supreme Court in ‘*Director (Admn and HR) KPTCL and others Vs. C.P. Mundinamani and others*’2023 SCC OnLine SC 401.

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and files his memo of appearance which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.
6. With the consent of both sides, the matter is taken up for final disposal.
7. Learned counsel for the respondent asserts that he has no objection, if petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to decide legal notice of the petitioner in a time bound manner and in view of judgment of Supreme Court in *C.P. Mundinamani and others* (supra).
8. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to decide claim of the petitioner within 3 months from today. The Competent Authority is expected to consider judgment of Supreme Court in *C.P. Mundinamani and others* (supra) while adjudicating claim of the petitioner.

13.03.2024
anju

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No