

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-8836-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:03.04.2024

GURPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.P.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kulwant Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 26.02.2024, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.201 dated 12.12.2023 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 of the IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that only role attributed to the petitioner is that at the time of incident he had fired shots from his pistol directly towards the complainant Saraj Singh. Learned counsel further contends that no injury was caused to Saraj Singh. Still further, it is contended that co-accused Jagsir Singh @ Jagseer Singh has already been allowed benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 14.02.2024 passed in CRM-M-48-2024 (O&M) (Annexure P-5). Another co-accused darshan Singh @ Fauji was allowed benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 14.02.2024 passed in CRM-M-2028-2024 (O&M) (Annexure P-6). Still further, it is contended that matter has since been compromised amongst the parties. Copy of the compromise has been placed on

record. A copy thereof has also been supplied to the State counsel.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.

Adjourned to 03.04.2024.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwant Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 26.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

03.04.2024

pry

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No