



210
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16189-1998(O&M)
Date of decision: 24.07.2024

Jai Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

The Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. On the last date of hearing, notice was issued to the petitioner. As per the report of the Registry, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back served through the Clerk of the Office of the Executive Engineer.
2. A perusal of the memo of parties would show that the address of the petitioner is an official address. The petition is of the year 1998 and his residential address is not known. Nobody has appeared on behalf of the respondents as well.
3. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the order by which the claim of the petitioner for regularization on the post of Meter Reader has been rejected and also to modify the order (Annexure P-1) and to regularise the services of the petitioner as Meter Reader.



4. In view of the aforesaid position and the fact that the present petition is of the year 1998 which is almost 26 years old and the residential address of the petitioner is not known, the present petition is dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file any application for revival of the present petition that in case any cause of action survives.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

24.07.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No