

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRM-M-9905-2024

Date of decision: 26.02.2024

Ram Piara Sandhu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Anmol Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to take legal action against respondents No.5 and 6 and to fairly investigate the complaint respondents No.5 and 6, in a lawful manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner having approached the police time and again for initiating action against respondents No.5 and 6, the authorities concerned had been sitting over the matter. In support, he has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexures P-1 and P-2 which are complaint a statement recorded by the petitioner. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner,

the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint and statement (Annexures P-1 and P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

26.02.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No