

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3593-2024
Date of Decision: 14.03.2024

ANIL KUMAR

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner has not been considered in accordance with law as, the qualification which the petitioner possessed, duly fulfills the requirement of the advertisement so as to treat the candidate eligible but, the petitioner has been declared ineligible despite the fact that the same qualification has already been accepted as a valid qualification *qua* the other candidates.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that keeping in view the public notice dated 10.02.2024, the qualification of the petitioner has been treated as valid and in case the petitioner has raised an appropriate objection in pursuance to the notice dated 10.02.2024, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing the appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be

disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

14.03.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No