

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

248

CRM-A-311-MA-2017
Date of decision : 03.04.2024

Sunil Goyal Applicant

versus
Raj Kumar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate
for the applicant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. On 20.07.2023, counsel for the applicant suffered statement the he is no longer in contact with the applicant and thus is not in a position to furnish correct address.
2. Respondent was ordered to be served through SSP, Sangrur to ensure that he should not evade service. Report has been received from the Office of SSP, Sangrur. As per the same, after inquiry it has been found that respondent-Raj Kumar is not residing at the address given by the petitioner and thus it was not possible to evade service.
3. In view of above, present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the applicant to move an appropriate application seeking revival of the present petition as and when he is able to procure the correct address.
4. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

03.04.2024

Dinesh Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No