



CWP-16008-1998 (O&M)

-1-

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16008-1998 (O&M)

Decided on: 03.12.2024

Surjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Electricity Board and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the respondents.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to the respondents to grant the revised pay-scale of Rs.1200-2040/- w.e.f. 01.01.1986 instead of 01.05.1990 to the petitioners on revision of pay-scales as the same are being paid to the employees of other categories and the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment of a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in ***CWP-592-1988*** titled as “***Sat Pal Singh and others Vs. Haryana State Electricity Board and another***” decided on 07.06.1991 vide Annexure P-4. He further submitted that the petitioners may be permitted to file a fresh comprehensive representation to the respondents because the present petition is of the year 1998.

2. On the other hand, Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that in the present case the aforesaid plea of the petitioners has not been considered by respondent No.1 and no order has



CWP-16008-1998 (O&M)

-2-

been passed in this regard. He submitted that he has instructions to state that the grievance of the petitioners will duly be considered by the respondent No.1 after considering the judgment which has been relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioners and also by considering all the facts and circumstances and thereafter, a well-reasoned speaking order will be passed in this regard after hearing the petitioners or their counsel within a period of three months from today. He further submitted that he has no objection in case the petitioners file any comprehensive representation to the respondents and also submitted that however the case of the petitioners is not covered by the judgment of a Full Bench of this Court in “Rajbir Singh and others Vs. HSEB and others” 2009(7) SLR 422.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the stand taken by the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a fresh comprehensive representation to the respondents in accordance with law and in the event of filing of such representation, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent No.1 (Successor Corporation/Nigam) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a period of three months from today after considering the judgment passed in Sat Pal Singh and others case (supra) and also after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners or their counsel.

03.12.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |