

**2024:PHHC:024733**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**108** **COCP-540-2023 (O & M)**  
**Date of decision: 21.02.2024**

RAVINDER MITTAL ...Petitioner

Versus

RAJNARAYAN KAUSHIK, IAS AND ANR. ...Respondents

**CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Pali, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate,  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**

**CM-18599-CII-2023**

1. Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.  
Additional affidavit of the petitioner is taken on record.

**COCP-540-2023**

2. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 14.09.2022 passed in CWP-8061-2019.
3. Counsel for the respondents submits that in pursuance of the order dated 14.09.2022 passed in CWP-8061-2019, all the necessary retiral benefits have been released in favour of the petitioner.
4. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

6.           However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left un-  
addressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate  
remedy, but in accordance with law.

21.02.2024  
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No