



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.8333 of 2024
Date of decision: 10th May, 2024

Mohinderpal Singh & another
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gurmeet S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0194 dated 20.12.2023 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur.
2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 16.02.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that a perusal of the FIR clearly reveals that all the allegations of money transfers was between the complainant and co-accused Satnam Singh; not even a single penny even as per the case of the prosecution stood deposited in the account of the petitioners, who are siblings



of co-accused Satnam Singh. Learned counsel has submitted that it was on account of the relationship of the petitioners with main accused Satnam Singh, false allegations had been levelled that they had connived with the co-accused and obtained money from the complainant on the pretext of arranging for his grandson's visit to England.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 16.02.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaspal Chand, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 16.02.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioners misuses the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to them.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 10, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No