

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-1605-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.2.2024

Anshika and another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Lovish Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are major, are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 7 and the said private respondents are extending threats to the petitioners; that in this regard, the petitioners gave representation dated 13.2.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 but the police authorities failed to take any action against the private respondents.

2. Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary action is taken by the authorities on the basis of the aforesaid representation.

3. Mr. J.S.Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

4. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib to consider representation dated 13.2.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

5. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 7 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2024
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No