



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

FAO-662-1990

Decided on :19.07.2024

Kusum Lal and another

... Appellants

Versus

Brij Lal and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. AG., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 29.08.2023, 10.01.2024, 11.01.2024, 14.03.2024, 01.05.2024 and 13.05.2024, none had appeared on behalf of the appellants.
2. In spite of the repeated notices, none has appeared on behalf of the appellants even today.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

19.07.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No