

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(363)

CWP-3596-2024
Date of Decision : March 20, 2024

Dilbag Singh .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Mishra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was claiming the benefit of extension in service, which has been declined by the respondents though the same had been approved by the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sonipat.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by a totally cryptic and non-speaking order, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected, which order is liable to be set aside on the said ground alone.
3. Learned State counsel, on the instructions from BDO Daljeet Sandhu, submits that the impugned order dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure P-15) be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order within a period of two weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure P-15) has already been withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. While passing the fresh order, the claim of the petitioner that the salary for the period for which the petitioner was discharging the duties is still pending to be released, should also be decided.

March 20, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No