

CRWP-1507-2024
Date of Decision: 16.02.2024

SNEHA AND ANOTHER
....Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh Kanda, Advocate and
Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)
Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 and
3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private
respondents No.4 to 12.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are
into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents
No.4 to 12. who are related to petitioners.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, a
female aged 20 years is into live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2, a
boy aged 21 years. That the said relationship is opposed by respondents
No.4 to 12 who are relatives of petitioners and that the said private
respondents are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in
this regard, they gave representation dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) to
respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh but the
police authorities failed to take any action on the basis of the said
representation. Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he will

be satisfied if necessary action is taken by the authorities on the basis of the aforesaid representation.

4. Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, U.T. Chandigarh, appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

5. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to consider representation dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

6. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 12 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

16.02.2024

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No